

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CABN 44332)  
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH(CABN 163973)  
Chief, Criminal Division

W.S. WILSON LEUNG (CABN 190939)  
Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102-3495  
Telephone: (415) 436-6758  
FAX: (415) 436-7234

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

GREGORY ALLEN WEISS,  
Defendant.

No. 3-08-70235 MAG

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]  
ORDER DOCUMENTING WAIVER

With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court enters this order vacating the preliminary hearing date of June 13, 2008, setting a new preliminary hearing date on August 6, 2008, at 9:30 a.m., before the duty magistrate judge, extending the time for the preliminary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1, and excluding time under the Speedy Trial Act from June 13, 2008 to August 6, 2008. The parties agree and stipulate, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:

1. The defendant, Gregory Allen Weiss, was charged in a complaint dated April 17, 2008 with one count of conspiring to distribute and to possess with intent to distribute 1,000 and more individual marijuana plants, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 812, 841(a)(1), 841(b)(1)(A), and

1 846, and one count of distributing and possessing with the intent to distribute 1,000 and more  
2 individual marijuana plants, in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 812, 841(a)(1), and 841(b)(1)(A).  
3 Weiss was arrested on April 23, 2008, and on April 25, 2008, was released subject to bail  
4 conditions, including: (a) a \$600,000 personal recognizance bond (b) co-signed by two financially  
5 responsible persons and (c) secured by property with equity covering the bond amount, notably  
6 1109-1147 Evans Drive, Santa Rosa, California. The Court set May 14, 2008 as the date of the  
7 defendant's preliminary hearing.

8 2. Since his arrest, Weiss has been represented by Ann C. Moorman, Esq. At the  
9 joint request of Ms. Moorman and the Government, and with the consent of the defendant, this  
10 Court extended the preliminary hearing date by two weeks, from May 14, 2008 until May 28,  
11 2008. This extension was granted in order to allow the parties more time to pursue plea  
12 discussions. Time was also excluded under the Speedy Trial Act until the May 28, 2008 date.

13 3. Following May 14, 2008, the parties continued their plea discussions in an attempt  
14 to resolve this case prior to the filing of formal charges. The parties did not, however, complete  
15 their discussions, and Ms. Moorman advised the Government that she required additional time to  
16 confer with the defendant concerning his case. Accordingly, the parties, with the consent of the  
17 defendant, asked the Court to extend the preliminary hearing date a second time, from May 28,  
18 2008 until June 13, 2008, and to schedule the preliminary hearing at 9:30 am on June 13, 2008.  
19 The Court granted this request and excluded time under the Speedy Trial Act until June 13, 2008.

20 4. Since May 28, 2008, the parties have continued their discussions, and in  
21 connection with these discussions, Ms. Moorman has made a written submission to the  
22 Government. Ms. Moorman has also asked the Government for additional time prior to the filing  
23 of formal charges so that she can supplement her initial submission with additional materials,  
24 materials that she requires additional time to gather.

25 5. Accordingly, the parties, with the consent of the defendant, have asked the Court  
26 to extend the preliminary hearing date a third time, this time from June 13, 2008 until August 6,  
27 2008, and to schedule the preliminary hearing at 9:30 am on August 6, 2008, before the duty  
28 magistrate judge. The parties have also asked the Court to exclude time under the Speedy Trial

1 Act for the same reasons, i.e., so that Ms. Moorman has additional time to supplement her  
2 submission to the Government with additional materials. The parties have also asked the Court  
3 to exclude time under the Speedy Trial Act for the same reasons.

4 6. Taking into the account the public interest in the prompt disposition of criminal  
5 cases, the above-stated grounds are good cause for extending the time limit for a preliminary  
6 hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1, for the filing period for an indictment, and  
7 for excluding time under the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant the continuance would deny  
8 defense counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the  
9 exercise of due diligence, while granting the continuance would allow the parties to continue  
10 their efforts to resolve this matter prior to the filing of formal charges.

11 //

12 //

13 //

14 //

15 //

16 //

17 //

18 //

19 //

20 //

21 //

22 //

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

